IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ERIC COOK, Special Administrator of)
the Estate of Christopher Shawn Poor,)
Deceased,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
V.) No. CIV-22-602-R
CHANCE AVEDV on individuals and)
CHANCE AVERY, an individual; and THE CITY OF THE VILLAGE,)
THE CITT OF THE VILLAGE,	,
Defendant.	j

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant City's Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Discovery Responses [Doc. No. 23]. The City's motion asserts that, despite granting Plaintiff two extensions of time, Plaintiff has failed to provide any responses to the City's discovery requests.

In its response [Doc. No. 24], Plaintiff does not dispute that it failed to provide discovery responses or request another extension of time. As an explanation for its failure to cooperate in discovery, Plaintiff asserts that Eric Cook – the special administrator of the estate – has resigned from his employment as the trust officer of Vision Bank and that counsel is in the process of having a new trust officer appointed as the special administrator. Fair enough, but as the City points out in its reply brief [Doc. No. 25], Plaintiff's counsel has been aware of this issue for over four months and has still not taken any action to withdraw Eric Cook as special administrator or substitute a new Plaintiff.

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require discovery responses to be furnished

within 30 days of service. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(b)(2), 34(b)(2)(A). Plaintiff cites no

authority suggesting that a litigant is relieved of this obligation when a party needs to be

substituted. If Plaintiff needed additional time to respond to discovery, the proper way to

proceed is to request an extension of time from opposing counsel or, if needed, from the

Court. Plaintiff failed to do so and has therefore not complied with its discovery

obligations.

Accordingly, Defendant City's Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Discovery Responses

[Doc. No. 23] is GRANTED. Plaintiff is directed to respond to Defendants' interrogatories

and requests for production of documents within 21 days of the date of this Order. Although

the Court agrees with Defendant that it should not have to seek court intervention to obtain

discovery responses, under the unique circumstances of this case, the Court declines to

award attorney's fees and costs.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 29th day of February, 2024.

DAVID L. RUSSELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2